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Models for Concurrent Programming

Shared Memory
- Analogy: two processors in a computer, sharing the same physical memory

Message Passing
- Analogy: two computers in a network, communicating by network connections

Shared Memory Example

Four customers using cash machines simultaneously
- Shared memory model – each cash machine reads and writes the account balance directly

ConcURRENCY

Multiple computations running at the same time
- Concurrency is everywhere, whether we like it or not

- Concurrency is useful, too
  - Splitting up a computation into concurrent pieces is often faster
  - Many apps must handle multiple simultaneous users (e.g., web sites)
  - Even single-user applications are better with concurrency (e.g., Eclipse compiling your Java code in the background while you’re editing it)

Multiple computers in a network
Multiple processors in a computer (or multiple cores in a single chip)
Race Condition

Suppose A and C run at the same time

- A gets balance $50
- C gets balance $50
- A adds deposit $100 to account 1
- A writes back total $150
- C adds deposit $100 to account 1
- C writes back total $150

Neither answer is right!

This is an example of a race condition

A race condition means that the correctness of the program depends on the relative timing of events in concurrent computations.

- "A is in a race with C"
- Some interwoven events may be OK, e.g.: but other interweaving produces wrong answers

Correctness of a concurrent program should not depend on accidents of timing

- Race conditions are nasty bugs — may be rarely observed, hard to reproduce, hard to debug, but may have very serious effects

Synchronization

A and C need to synchronize with each other

- Locks are a common synchronization mechanism
- Holding a lock means "I'm changing this; don't touch it right now"
- Suppose C acquires the lock first; then A must wait to read and write the balance until C finishes and releases the lock
- Ensures that A and C are synchronized, but B can run independently on a different account (with a different lock)

Deadlocks

Suppose A and B are making simultaneous transfers

- A transfer between accounts needs to lock both accounts, so that money can't disappear from the system
- A and B each acquire the lock on the "from" account
- Now each must wait for the other to give up the lock on the "to" account
- Stalemate! A and B are frozen, and the accounts are locked up.

"Deadly embrace"

- Deadlock occurs when concurrent modules are stuck waiting for each other to do something
- A deadlock may involve more than two modules (e.g., a cycle of transfers among N accounts)
- You can have deadlock without using locks — example later

Lock Granularity

Preventing the deadlock

- One solution is to change the locking granularity — e.g., use one lock on the entire bank, instead of a lock on each account

Choosing lock granularity is hard

- If locking is too coarse, then you lose concurrency (e.g., only one cash machine can run at a time)
- If locking is too fine, then you get race conditions and/or deadlocks
- Easy to get this wrong
Message Passing Example

Modules interact by sending messages to each other
- Incoming requests are placed in a queue to be handled one at a time
- Sender doesn’t stop working while waiting for an answer to its request; it handles more requests from its own queue
- Reply eventually comes back as another message

Incoming requests to handle:
- Deposit $100 to Account 1
- Withdraw $100 from Account 2
- Deposit $100 to Account 1
- Get balance of Account 1

Accounts are now modules, not just memory locations

Message Passing Has the Same Risks

Message passing doesn't eliminate race conditions
- Suppose the account state machine supports get-balance and withdraw operations (with corresponding messages)
- Can Alice and Bob always stay out of the OVERDRAWN state?

Alice:
- Get-balance if balance > $75, withdraw $75

Bob:
- Get-balance if balance > $50, withdraw $50

Account 1:
- Bal: $50

Account 2:
- Bal: $200

Account 3:
- Bal: $50

Lesson: need to carefully choose the atomic (indivisible) operations of the state machine – withdraw-if-sufficient-funds would be better

Message-passing can have deadlocks too
- Particularly when using finite queues that can fill up

Concurrency Is Hard to Test

Poor coverage
- Recall our notions of coverage
  - All states, all transitions, or all paths through a state machine
- Given two concurrent state machines (with N states and M states), the combined system has N x M states (and many more transitions and paths)
- As concurrency increases, the state space explodes, and achieving sufficient coverage becomes infeasible

Poor reproducibility
- Transitions are nondeterministic, depending on relative timing of events that are strongly influenced by the environment
  - Delays can be caused by other running programs, other network traffic, operating system scheduling decisions, variations in processor clock speed, etc.
- Test driver can’t possibly control all these factors
- So even if state coverage were feasible, the test driver can’t reliably reproduce particular paths through the combined state machine

Use Message Passing in 6.005

We'll focus on message passing, not shared memory
- Locking strategy for shared-memory paradigm is hard to get right
- Message-passing paradigm often aligns directly with the real-world workflow of a problem
- But message passing is less suited to some problems, e.g. a big shared data structure
Threads

A thread is a locus of control (i.e. program counter + stack, representing a position in a running program)
- Simulates a fresh processor running the same program in a different place
- A process always has at least one thread (the main thread)
- Threads can share any memory in the process, as long as they can get a reference to it
- Threads must set up message passing explicitly (e.g. by creating queues)

Time Slicing

How can I have many concurrent threads with only one or two processors in my computer?
- When there are more threads than processors, concurrency is simulated by time slicing (processor switches between threads)
- Time slicing happens unpredictably and nondeterministically

Threads in Java

A thread is represented by java.lang.Thread object
- To define a thread, either override Thread or implement Runnable
  
  T1 extends Thread  
  R1 implements Runnable

Thread lifecycle
- Starting arguments can be given to the constructor
  
  new T1(arg1, ...)  
  new Thread(new R1(arg1, ...))
- Thread is spawned by calling its start() method
- New thread starts its life by calling its own run() method
- Thread dies when run() returns or throws an uncaught exception

Message Passing with Threads

Use a synchronized queue for message-passing between threads
- interface java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue is such a queue

ArrayBlockingQueue is a fixed-size queue that uses an array representation
LinkedBlockingQueue is a growable queue (no FULL state) using a linked-list representation
Case Study: Photo Organizer

What happens when the UI displays a large album?

Java Swing Is Not Threadsafe

The view hierarchy is a big meatball of shared state
- And there’s no lock protecting it at all
- It’s OK to access user interface objects from the event-handling thread (i.e., in response to input events)
- But the Swing specification forbids touching – reading or writing – any Component objects from a different thread
  - The truth is that Swing’s implementation does have one big lock (Component.getTreeLock()) but only some Swing methods use it (e.g. layout)

Concurrency in GUIs

Mouse and keyboard events are accumulated in an event queue
- Event loop reads an input event from the queue and dispatches it to listeners on the view hierarchy
- In Java, the event loop runs on a special event-handling thread, started automatically when a user interface object is created

Message Passing Via the Event Queue

The event queue is also a message-passing queue
- To access or update Swing objects from a different thread, you can put a message (represented as a Runnable object) on the event queue
  - SwingUtilities.invokeLater(new Runnable(){
    public void run() { content.add(thumbnail); ...}
  });
- The event loop handles one of these pseudo-events by calling run()
### Thread Safety

**BlockingQueue is itself a shared state machine**
- But it’s OK to use from multiple threads because it has an **internal lock** that prevents race conditions within the state machine itself
  - So state transitions are guaranteed to be **atomic**
  - This is done by the Java **synchronized** keyword

**Swing thread**

**BlockingQueue**

**DisplayThread**

- BlockingQueue is therefore **thread-safe** (able to be called by multiple threads safely without threat to its invariants)
- HashSet is not thread-safe; neither is the Swing view hierarchy

### Other Thread-Safe Classes

**Lists, Sets, and Maps** can be made thread-safe by a wrapper function
- `t = Collections.synchronizedSet(s)` returns a thread-safe version of set `s`, with a lock that prevents more than one thread from entering it at a time, forcing the others to block until the lock is free
- So we could imagine synchronizing all our sets:
  ```java
  thumbnails = Collections.synchronizedSet(new HashSet<Thumbnail>());
  ```

**This doesn't fix all race conditions!**
- Doesn't help preserve invariants involving more than one data structure
  ```java
  thumbnails.add(t);
  content.add(t);
  ```
- These operations need to be atomic together, to avoid breaking the rep invariant of PreviewPane (that all thumbnails are children of content)

### More Thread-Safe Classes

**Objects that never change state are usually** thread-safe

- **Immutable** objects never change state
  - e.g., `java.lang.String` is immutable, so threads can share strings as much as they like without fear of race conditions, and without any need for locks or queues

**Summary**

**Concurrency**
- Multiple computations running simultaneously

**Shared-memory & message-passing paradigms**
- Shared memory needs a synchronization mechanism, like locks
- Message passing synchronizes on communication channels, like queues

**Pitfalls**
- **Race** when correctness of result depends on relative timing of events
- **Deadlock** when concurrent modules get stuck waiting for each other

**Design advice**
- Share only immutable objects between threads
- Use blocking queues and `SwingUtilities.invokeLater()`