Toys

- Mirror lens
- 85 1.2
- soft focus 135mm
- long rule (optical bench)
- 500mm
- 300mm x2
Focus and Depth of Field

Frédo Durand

MIT - EECS
Final project

• Please email me a progress (something short, 2-3 paragraphs) report by Thursday 7pm. I will try to give you feedback faster this time.

• The final project report is expected to be about four to five pages (if you do latex, that would be the "article" style which is pretty sparse). On the smaller side if you're a group of one, on the bigger side if you're two. We expect the usual: introduction/motivation; related work; technique description; result.

• I have resources about technical writing at http://people.csail.mit.edu/fredo/student.html

• It is "due" on Thursday May 14 on Stellar

• I wish I could make it due later but I cannot due to MIT regulations.

• On Thursday 5/14, we'll also have a presentation of all the final projects. There will be *TWO minutes* per project so that we have time for everyone. Yes, this is not much time, so be efficient. Practice. We mean it as a way to share your work and get everyone excited, so make it fun. And yes it's mandatory.

• To make things run smoothly, please send Soonmin your slides and videos by 11am on Thursday. We will put everything on my laptop.
Today: depth of field
Why you need shallow depth of field

Photo with fake shallow depth of field
Why you need shallow depth of field

Original photo
Focusing
Focusing

- Move film/sensor
- Thin-lens formula

\[ \frac{1}{D'} + \frac{1}{D} = \frac{1}{f} \]
In practice, it’s a little more complex

- Various lens elements can move inside the lens
  - Here in blue

Source: Canon red book.
Defocus & Depth of field
Circle of confusion
Depth of focus

**Figure 5–33A**  Depth of field is the range of distances within which objects are imaged with acceptable sharpness. At the limits, object points are imaged as permissible circles of confusion.

From Basic Photographic Materials and Processes, Stroebel et al.
Size of permissible circle?

- Assumption on print size, viewing distance, human vision
  - Typically for 35mm film: diameter = 0.02mm

- Film/sensor resolution
  (8μ photosites for high-end SLR)
- Best lenses are around 60 lp/mm (line pair per mm)
- Diffraction limit
Depth of field: Object space

- **Simplistic view: double cone**
  - Only tells you about the value of one pixel
  - Things are in fact a little more complicated to assess circles of confusion across the image
  - We're missing the magnification factor (proportional to \(1/distance\) and focal length)
Depth of focus: more accurate view

- Max circle of confusion can be achieved in two ways
  - point that focuses too close, or too far
- The distance between those points is the depth of focus
  - Conjugate of depth of field

Depth of focus

............

lens
Depth of field: more accurate view

- Backproject the image onto the plane in focus
  - Backproject circle of confusion
  - Depends on magnification factor
- Depth of field is slightly asymmetrical
Depth of field: more accurate view

- Backproject the image onto the plane in focus
  - Backproject circle of confusion
  - Depends on magnification factor $\sim f/D$

```
\text{CD}/f
```

```
\text{CD}/f
```
Deriving depth of field

- Circle of confusion $C$, magnification $m$
- Simplification: $m=f/D$
- Focusing distance $D$, focal length $f$, aperture $N$
- As usual, similar triangles
Deriving depth of field

\[
\frac{f d_1}{CD} = \frac{D - d_1}{f/N}
\]

\[
\frac{f d_1}{CD} + \frac{d_1}{f/N} = \frac{D}{f/N}
\]

\[
d_1 = \frac{CD^2}{f^2/N + CD}
\]
Deriving depth of field

\[ d_1 = \frac{NCD^2}{f^2 + NCD} \quad d_2 = \frac{NCD^2}{f^2 - NCD} \]

\[ d = d_1 + d_2 = \frac{2NCD^2}{f^4 - N^2C^2D^2} \]
Deriving depth of field

\[ d = \frac{2NC^2D^2}{f^4 - N^2C^2D^2} \]

N^2C^2D^2 term can often be neglected when DoF is small (conjugate of circle of confusion is smaller than lens aperture)
Depth of field and aperture

- Linear: proportional to f number
- Recall: big f number N means small physical aperture

\[ d = \frac{2NC\cdot D^2}{f^2} \]
DoF & aperture


---

**Tuesday, May 5, 2009**
SLR viewfinder & aperture

• By default, an SLR always shows you the biggest aperture
• Brighter image
• Shallow depth of field help judge focus
• Depth of field preview button:
  – Stops down to the aperture you have chosen
  – Darker image
  – Larger depth of field
Depth of field and focusing distance

• Quadratic (bad news for macro) (but careful, our simplifications are not accurate for macro)

\[ d = \frac{2NCD^2}{f^2} \]
• Recall I said it was simplistic
• Seems to say that relationship is linear
• But if you add the magnification factor, it's actually quadratic
Depth of field & focusing distance

From Photography, London et al.
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Figure 5–34  The hyperfocal distance is the closest distance that appears sharp when a lens is focused on infinity (top), or the closest distance that can be focused on and have an object at infinity appear sharp (bottom).

From Basic Photographic Materials and Processes, Stroebel et al.
Hyperfocal distance

- When \( \frac{CD}{f} \) becomes bigger than \( \frac{f}{N} \)
- Focus at \( D = \frac{f^2}{NC} \) and sharp from \( D/2 \) till infinity
- Our other simplifications do not work anymore there: the denominator term has to be taken into account in

\[
d = \frac{2NCD^2 f^2}{f^4 - N^2C^2D^2}
\]
Depth of field and focal length

- Inverse quadratic: the lens gets bigger, the magnification is higher

\[ d = \frac{2NCD^2}{f^2} \]
Depth of field & focal length

• Recall that to get the same image size, we can double the focal length and the distance.

• Recall what happens to physical aperture size when we double the focal length for the same f number?
  – It is doubled.

24mm

50mm
Depth of field & focal length

- Same image size (same magnification), same f number
- Same depth of field!

\[ d = \frac{2NCD^2}{f^2} \]

Wide-angle lens

Telephoto lens (2x f), same aperture
DoF & Focal length


50mm f/4.8

200mm f/4.8
(from 4 times farther)

See also [http://luminous-landscape.com/tutorials/dof2.shtml](http://luminous-landscape.com/tutorials/dof2.shtml)
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Important conclusion

• For a given image size and a given f number, the depth of field (in object space) is the same.

• Might be counter intuitive.

• Very useful for macro where DoF is critical. You can change your working distance without affecting depth of field

• Now what happens to the background blur far far away?
Important conclusion

• For a given image size and a given f number, the depth of field (in object space) is the same.
  – The depth of acceptable sharpness is the same

• But background far far away looks more blurry
  Because it gets magnified more

• Plus, usually, you don't keep magnification constant
Recap
Effect of parameters

Figure 22.1 Depth of field
Effect of the variables focal length ($f$), f-number ($N$) and focused distance ($u$) at constant value for circle of confusion ($C$). (a) Lens aperture varying from $f/1.4$ to $f/16$ with 50 mm lens focused on 5 m. (b) Focused distance varying from 0.5 to 3 m with 50 mm lens at $f/5.6$. (c) Focal length varying from 28 to 200 mm at $f/5.6$ focused on 5 m.

From applied photographic optics
DoF guides

Figure 4.20 Visual indication of depth of field. (a) Depth of field indicator scale. (b) Converging scales on a 75–250 mm f/4 zoom lens, including an infrared focus correction mark R.
Is depth of field good or evil?

• It depends, little grasshopper
• Want huge DoF: landscape, photojournalists, portrait with environment
• Shallow DoF: portrait, wildlife
Crazy DoF images

- By Matthias Zwicker
- The focus is between the two sticks

Sharp version

Really wide aperture version
Is depth of field a blur?

• Depth of field is NOT a convolution of the image
• The circle of confusion varies with depth
• There are interesting occlusion effects
• (If you really want a convolution, there is one, but in 4D space… more about this in ten days)

From Macro Photography
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Sensor size
Depth of field

• It’s all about the size of the lens aperture
• Smaller sensor
  – smaller $C$
  – smaller $f$

• But the effect of $f$ is quadratic

• Bottom line: the size of the sensor linearly scales the depth of field
  – for same field of view, focusing distance and $f$ number (aperture).

\[ d = \frac{2NCD^2}{f^2} \]
Sensor size

The coolest depth of field solution

- [http://www.mediachance.com/dvdlab/dof/index.htm](http://www.mediachance.com/dvdlab/dof/index.htm)
- Use two optical systems
The coolest depth of field solution

- [http://www.mediachance.com/dvdlab/dof/index.htm](http://www.mediachance.com/dvdlab/dof/index.htm)
Seeing through occlusion
Seeing beyond occlusion

- Photo taken through zoo bars
- Telephoto at full aperture
- The bars are so blurry that they are invisible
Synthetic aperture


Figure 11: Matted synthetic aperture photography. (a) A sample image from one of 90 cameras used for this experiment. (b) The synthetic aperture image focused on the plane of the people, computed by aligning and averaging images from all 90 cameras as described in the text. (c) Suppressing contributions from static pixels in each camera yields a more vivid view of the scene behind the occluder. The person and stuffed toy are more clearly visible.
Why a bigger aperture

- To make things blurrier
  - Depth of field
- To make things sharper
  - Diffraction limit

Sharpness & aperture (e.g. for the Canon 50mm f/1.4)

http://www.slrgear.com/reviews/showproduct.php/product/140/sort/2/cat/10/page/3

- f/1.4: soft (geometrical aberrations), super shallow Dof. Lots of light!
- f/2.8 getting really sharp, shallow depth of field
- f/5.6: best sharpness
- f/16: diffraction kicks in, loses sharpness. But depth of field is big
Soft focus
Soft focus

- Everything is blurry
- Rays do not converge
- Some people like it for portrait

source: Hecht Optics

With soft focus lens

Without soft focus lens

Canon red book (Canon 135 f/2.8 soft focus)

Tuesday, May 5, 2009
Soft focus

- Remember spherical aberration?

With soft focus lens

source: Hecht Optics
Soft images

• Diffuser, grease
• Photoshop
  – Dynamic range issue
The Bokeh religion
Bokeh


The most obvious way bokeh gets into pictures, of course, is simply as background. In Robert Harrington's cruel but beautiful picture here, for instance, most of the area of the picture is occupied by bokeh, even though it has nothing to do with the subject of the picture. The picture might be as good with a plain white or black background. Still, if you just look at the bokeh as it exists, it's hard to deny that the color and brightness of the out of focus parts contribute to the sense of a certain kind of light, and the feeling of the outdoors.
A Distracting Zoom Lens Example of Bad Bokeh
Photo Courtesy of Luis Lopez Penabad - Thank You! (see posting)
catadioptric (mirror)

• http://www.digit-life.com/articles2/rubinar/
Autofocus
How would you build an Auto Focus?
Polaroid Ultrasound (Active AF)

- Time of flight (sonar principle)
- Limited range, stopped by glass
- Paved the way for use in robotics
  - [http://www.acroname.com/robotics/info/articles/sonar/sonar.html](http://www.acroname.com/robotics/info/articles/sonar/sonar.html)
  - [http://www.uoxray.uoregon.edu/polamod/](http://www.uoxray.uoregon.edu/polamod/)
  - [http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/autofocus2.htm](http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/autofocus2.htm)

---

From Ray’s Applied Photographic Optics

http://www.uoxray.uoregon.edu/polamod/
Contrast

• Focus = highest contrast

http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/autofocus3.htm
Figure 21.5  Focus detection by a linear CCD array
(a) Subject $S$ imaged in sharp focus at $A$, but unsharp at $C$ and $B$. (b) Intensity profiles of $S$ and $A$ and of $B$ and $C$. (c) Intensity profile as measure of focus determined by linear array of charge coupled devices (CCD) whose output is proportional to intensity and where sensor number corresponds to distance. Signal-processing techniques detect the sharp or unsharp characteristic.
Figure 21.6  Autofocus using image contrast measurements
(a) Sharp image at F with maximum contrast. (b) Variation of contrast with focus position, (c) and (d) Beamsplitters in equivalent focal planes to compare contrast at Q and P or at Q, F and P using linear CCD arrays. (e) and (f) Double or triple outputs of CCD arrays compared by signal-processing techniques to indicate best focus at O or generate signals in viewfinder or operate a servomotor.
Phase detection focusing

• Used e.g. in SLRs
Phase detection focusing

- Stereo vision from two portions of the lens on the periphery
- Not at the equivalent film plane but farther → can distinguish too far and too close
- Look at the phase difference between the two images

Figure 9.25  Principles of autofocus by phase detection. (a) Subject in focus. (b) Focus in front of subject. (c) Focus beyond subject. Key: L camera lens; F equivalent focal plane; A lenslet array; C CCD linear array; B output signals with time delay $t_1$ etc.

From The Manual of Photography
Multiple focus sensors

source arthur morris
Macro
Macro depth of field is shallow

- Remember: shallower with smaller focusing distance

Macrophotography: Learning from a Master
PhotoMontage

• Combine multiple photos

Figure 2 A set of macro photographs of an ant (three of eleven used shown on the left) taken at different focal lengths. We use a global maximum contrast image objective to compute the graph-cut composite automatically (top left, with an inset to show detail, and the labeling shown directly below). A small number of remaining artifacts disappear after gradient-domain fusion (top, middle). For comparison we show composites made by Auto-Montage (top, right), by Haeberli’s method (bottom, middle), and by Laplacian pyramids (bottom, right). All of these other approaches have artifacts; Haeberli’s method creates excessive noise, Auto-Montage fails to attach some hairs to the body, and Laplacian pyramids create halos around some of the hairs.
Macro montage

- [http://www.janrik.net/ptools/ExtendedFocusPano12/index.html](http://www.janrik.net/ptools/ExtendedFocusPano12/index.html)
- **55 images here**
Scanning: combination in 1 exposure

Macrophotography scanning device. The subject is lit by a fine ray of light with a thickness less than the depth of field; the lens can be used with average apertures that provide maximum sharpness. Mounted on a stand with a headless screw, it is moved forward and backward by a slow and regular movement that is controlled by a motorized micrometer. This device, which can be made by a meticulous handyman, lets you take spectacular shots of large insects with total depth of field.
Macro is easy with small sensors

• 1/ minimum focusing distance is way smaller
• 2/ depth of field is bigger
• Summary: you've scaled down the camera, you can take pictures of a scaled-down world

Well, except for diffraction (we did not scale wavelength!)
Fake Depth of Field
Photoshop

- Using layers:
- One sharp layer, one blurry layer (using Gaussian
Photoshop

- Problem: halo around edges
Photoshop lens blur

- Reverse-engineered algorithm: average over circle
- Size of circle depends on pseudo depth
Photoshop lens blur

- Filter>Blur>Lens blur

Input

Depth map (painted manually)

Result
Tilt/Shift camera movements
- [Link](http://www.luminous-landscape.com/tutorials/focusing-ts.shtml)
Rise and fall move the front or back of the camera in a flat plane, like opening or closing an ordinary window. Rise moves the front or back up; fall moves the front or back down.

Shift (like rise and fall) also moves the front or back of the camera in a flat plane, but from side to side in a motion like moving a sliding door.

Tilt tips the front or back of the camera forward or backward around a horizontal axis. Nodding your head yes is a tilt of your face.

Swing twists the front or back of the camera around a vertical axis to the left or right. Shaking your head no is a swing of your face.

From Photography, London et al.
CONTROLLING CONVERGING LINES: THE KEY:

Standing at street level and shooting straight at a building produces too much street and too little building. Sometimes it is possible to move back far enough to show the entire building while keeping the camera level, but this adds even more foreground and usually something gets in the way.

From Photography, London et al.
CONTROLLING CONVERGING LINES: THE KEYSTONE EFFECT

Standing at street level and shooting straight at a building produces too much street and too little building. Sometimes it is possible to move back far enough to show the entire building while keeping the camera level, but this adds even more foreground and usually something gets in the way.

Tilting the whole camera up shows the entire building but distorts its shape. Since the top is farther from the camera than the bottom, it appears smaller; the vertical lines of the building seem to be coming closer together, or converging, near the top. This is named the keystone effect, after the wedge-shaped stone at the top of an arch. This convergence gives the illusion that the building is falling backward—an effect particularly noticeable when only one side of the building is visible.

From Photography, London et al.
CONTROLLING CONVERGING LINES: THE KEYSTONE EFFECT

Standing at street level and shooting straight at a building produces too much street and too little building. Sometimes it is possible to move back far enough to show the entire building while keeping the camera level, but this adds even more foreground and usually something gets in the way.

Tilting the whole camera up shows the entire building but distorts its shape. Since the top is farther from the camera than the bottom, it appears smaller; the vertical lines of the building seem to be coming closer together, or converging, near the top. This is named the keystone effect, after the wedge-shaped stone at the top of an arch. This convergence gives the illusion that the building is falling backward—an effect particularly noticeable when only one side of the building is visible.

To straighten up the converging vertical lines, keep the camera back parallel to the face of the building. To keep the face of the building in focus, make sure the lens is parallel to the camera back. One way to do this is to level the camera and then use the rising front or falling back movements or both.

Another solution is to point the camera upward toward the top of the building, then use the tilting movements—first to tilt the back to a vertical position (which squares the shape of the building), then to tilt the lens so it is parallel to the camera back (which brings the face of the building into focus). The lens and film will end up in the same positions with both methods.
The book is partly out of focus because the lens plane and the film plane are not parallel to the subject plane. Instead of a regular accordion bellows, the diagrams show a bag bellows that can bring camera front and back closer together for use with a short focal-length lens.

Tilting the front of the camera forward brings the entire page into sharp focus. The camera diagram illustrates the Scheimpflug principle, explained at right.
ADJUSTING THE PLANE OF FOCUS TO MAKE ONLY PART OF THE SCENE SHARP

Here the photographer wanted just the spilled beans sharp, not those in the foreground and background jars.

A swing of the camera front to the right moves the plane of focus to angle along the receding pile of beans. The photographer opened up the lens to its maximum of f/5.6, which throws the other jars out of focus and directs attention to the beans.

From Photography, London et al.
Scheimpflug's rule

Figure 10.12  Depth of field and camera movements. The inclined subject S is not fully within the depth of field $T_1$ until lens is rotated through angle $\phi$ to satisfy Scheimpflug’s rule, locating S within depth-of-field zone $T_2$.

From The Manual of Photography
• Useful for landscape to get depth of field from foreground to infinity

Ansel Adams
• Useful for landscape to get depth of field from foreground to infinity
JAN GROOVER  Untitled, 1985

Swinging the camera front to the left or right manipulates the plane of focus. In this austere still life, the plane of focus is almost at a right angle to the film plane. The objects are commonplace, but the scene is subject to interpretation.
Tilt-shift lens

• 35mm SLR version
Tilt

From Macro photography: Learning from a Master

Tuesday, May 5, 2009
Olivo Barbieri's model world.

An aqueduct on the periphery of Rome

http://www.metropolismag.com/cda/story.php?artid=1760
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Olivo Barbieri's model world.

Paris Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas

http://www.metropolismag.com/cda/story.php?artid=1760
Olivo Barbieri's model world.

Santa Monica Pier, Los Angeles

http://www.metropolismag.com/cda/story.php?artid=1760
Related links

• By the way, here are a number of links to people doping similar things,
  http://blog.so-net.ne.jp/photolog/archive/c22183
  http://www.arte.fi/media/gaal_media.htm
  http://hame.ca/blog3/tiltshift/gallery/
  http://www.flickr.com/groups/tiltshift/
  http://thphotos.com/art-fs.html
  http://www.mo-artgallery.nl/fahlenkampwphr.htm
  many of them inspired by Barbieri
  See in particular
  http://hame.ca/tiltshift.htm
  for many links and info

The lensbaby is a recent popular tool to create related effects:

And here is an interesting article that tells you how to achieve similar
effects with Photoshop
  http://recedinghairline.co.uk/tutorials/fakemodel/
  with interesting reflections about when it works
  (light quality, viewpoint)