Today's Topics

how to avoid debugging
- assertions
- code reviews

how to do it when you have to
- reducing test cases
- hypothesis-driven debugging
- binary search

very hard bugs
- Heisenbugs

Defensive Programming

first defense against bugs is to make them impossible
- Java makes buffer overflow bugs impossible

second defense against bugs is to not make them
- correctness: get things right first time

third defense is to make bugs easy to find
- local visibility of errors: if things fail, we'd rather they fail loudly and immediately – e.g. with assertions

fourth defense is extensive testing
- uncover as many bugs as possible

last resort is debugging
- needed when effect of bug is distant from cause

First Defense: Impossible By Design

in the language
- automatic array bounds checking make buffer overflow bugs impossible
- static typing eliminates many runtime type errors

in the protocols/libraries/modules
- TCP/IP guarantees that data is not reordered
- BigInteger guarantees that there will be no overflow

in self-imposed conventions
- immutable objects can be passed around and shared without fear
- caution: you have to keep the discipline
  - get the language to help you as much as possible, e.g. with private and final
Second Defense: Correctness

get things right the first time
➢ don't code before you think! Think before you code.
  • do your thinking in design; use a pattern to map that design to code
especially true when debugging is going to be hard
➢ concurrency
  • simplicity is key
  • divide program into chunks that are easy to understand
➢ modularity
  • use abstract data types with well-defined interfaces
  • avoid rep exposure
➢ specification
  • write specs for all modules, so that an explicit, well-defined contract
    exists between each module and its client

Example: Assertions

```java
/*
 * Returns n!, the number of permutations of n objects.
 * n must be nonnegative.
 * /
public static int fact(int n) {
    if (n == 0) return 1;
    else return n * fact(n-1);
}

/*
 * Returns \( \binom{n}{k} \), the number of distinct subsets
 * of size \( k \) in a set of size \( n \).
 * Requires \( 0 \leq k \leq n \).
 * /
public static int combinations(int n, int k) {
    return fact(n) / (fact(k) * fact(n-k));
}
```

Third Defense: Immediate Visibility

if we can't prevent bugs, we can try to localize them to a small part of the program
➢ fail fast: the earlier a problem is observed, the easier it is to fix
➢ assertions: catch bugs early, before failure has a chance to contaminate (and
  be obscured by) further computation
  • in Java: `assert boolean-expression`
  • note that you must enable assertions with `-ea`
➢ unit testing: when you test a module in isolation, you can be confident that
  any bug you find is in that unit (or in the test driver)
➢ regression testing: run tests as often as possible when changing code.
  • if a test fails, the bug is probably in the code you just changed
when localized to a single method or small module,
bugs can be found simply by studying the program text

Code Review

other eyes looking at the code can find bugs

code review
➢ careful, systematic study of source code by others (not original author)
➢ analogous to proofreading an English paper
➢ look for bugs, poor style, design problems, etc.
➢ formal inspection: several people read code separately, then meet to
discuss it
➢ lightweight methods: over-the-shoulder walkthrough, or by email
➢ many dev groups require a code review before commit

code review complements other techniques
➢ code reviews can find many bugs cheaply
➢ also test the understandability and maintainability of the code
➢ three proven techniques for reducing bugs: reasoning, code reviews, testing
Let's Review Some Code

```java
public class PigLatin {
    static String[] words;
    public static String toPigLatin(String s) {
        words = s.split(" ");
        String result = "";
        for (int i = 0; i <= words.length; ++i) {
            piggify(i);
            result += words[i];
        }
        return result;
    }
    public static void piggify(int i) {
        if (words[i].startsWith("a") || words[i].startsWith("e") || ... ) {
            words[i] += "yay";
        } else {
            words[i] = words[i].substring(1);
            words[i] += words[i].charAt(0) + "ay";
        }
    }
}
```

How to Debug

1) reproduce the bug with a small test case
   - find a small, repeatable test case that produces the failure (may take effort, but helps clarify the bug and also gives you something for regression)
   - don't move on to next step until you have a repeatable test

2) find the cause
   - narrow down location and proximate cause
   - study the data / hypothesize / experiment / repeat
   - may change code to get more information
   - don't move on to next step until you understand the cause

3) fix the bug
   - is it a simple typo, or is it a design flaw? does it occur elsewhere?

4) add test case to regression tests
   - then run regression tests to ensure that the bug appears to be fixed, and no new bugs have been introduced by the fix

Reducing to a Simple Test Case

- find simplest input that will provoke bug
  - usually not the input that originally revealed existence of the bug
  - start with data that revealed bug
  - keep paring it down (binary search can help)
  - often leads directly to an understanding of the cause

same idea is useful at many levels of a system
- method arguments
- input files
- keystrokes and mouse clicks in a GUI

Example

```java
/**
 * Returns true if and only if s contains t as a substring,
 * e.g. contains("hello world", "world") == true.
 */
public static boolean contains(String s, String t) { ... }
```

- a user discovers that
  - contains("Life is wonderful! I am so very very happy all the time", "very happy")
  - incorrectly returns false

wrong approach:
- try to trace the execution of contains() for this test case

right approach:
- first try to reduce the size of the test case
- even better: bracket the bug with a test case that fails and similar test cases that succeed
Code for contains()

```java
/**
 * Returns true if and only if s contains t as a substring, e.g. contains("hello world", "world") == true.
 */
public static boolean contains(String s, String t) {
    search:
    for (int i = 0; i < s.length(); ++i) {
        for (int j = 0; j < t.length(); ++j, ++i) {
            if (s.charAt(i) != t.charAt(j)) continue search;
            if (s.charAt(i) != t.charAt(j)) continue search;
            return true;
            return false;
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

Finding the Cause

- **exploit modularity**
  - start with everything, take away pieces until bug goes
  - start with nothing, add pieces back in until bug appears

- **take advantage of modular reasoning**
  - trace through program, viewing intermediate results
  - insert assertions targeted at the bug
  - design all data structures to be printable (i.e., implement toString())
  - println is a surprisingly useful and universal tool
    - in large systems, use a logging infrastructure instead of println
  - use binary search to speed things up
    - bug happens somewhere between first and last statement
    - so do binary search on the ordered set of statements

Example: Finding a Sudoku Bug

suppose a Sudoku solver produces the wrong answer

```
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......
......
```

```
Sudoku solver
```

```
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Note that this isn’t a state machine diagram or a module dependence diagram; it shows data flow, which is often useful for thinking about bugs.

Regression Testing

- whenever you find and fix a bug
  - store the input that elicited the bug
  - store the correct output
  - add it to your test suite

- why regression tests help
  - helps to populate test suite with good test cases
    - remember that a test is good if it elicits a bug – and every regression test did in one version of your code
  - protects against reverstions that reintroduce bug
  - the bug may be an easy error to make (since it happened once already)

- test-first debugging
  - when a bug arises, immediately write a test case for it that elicits it
  - once you find and fix the bug, the test case will pass, and you’ll be done
The Ugliest Bugs

we've had it easy so far
- sequential, deterministic programs have repeatable bugs
- but the real world is not that nice...
  - timing dependencies
  - unpredictable network delays
  - varying processor loads
  - concurrency

heisenbugs
- nondeterministic, hard to reproduce
- may even disappear when you try to look at it with println or debugger!

one approach
- build a lightweight event log (circular buffer)
- log events during execution of program as it runs at speed
- when you detect the error, stop program and examine logs

Example of a heisenbug

```java
public class Bank {
    int balance;

    public Bank(int balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    public void deposit(int amount) {
        balance += amount;
    }

    public void withdraw(int amount) {
        balance -= amount;
    }

    public int getBalance() {
        return balance;
    }
}
```

Example of a heisenbug

```java
// our bank account starts with $100
final Bank account = new Bank(100);

// start a bunch of threads
List<Thread> threads = new ArrayList<Thread>();
for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
    Thread t = new Thread(new Runnable() {
        public void run() {
            // each thread does a bunch of bank transactions
            for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) {
                account.deposit(1);  // put a dollar in
                account.withdraw(1); // take it back out
            }
        }
    });
    t.start(); // don't forget to start the thread!
    threads.add(t);
}

// wait for all the threads to finish
for (Thread t: threads) t.join();

// display the final account balance
System.out.println(account.getBalance());
```

Summary

avoid debugging
- it's not fun and not productive
- many of the techniques of this class are designed to save you from bugs

approach it systematically
- simplify test cases
- find cause before trying to fix